

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,911	12/26/2001	Dong Jae You	8733.543.00	7511
30827 75	90 06/21/2005		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			DONG, DALEI	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A-ti-Ai- Ai-	A ComMa			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/025,911	YOU, DONG JAE			
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN	NO DATE CALL	Dalei Dong	2879			
Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 S from the mailing date of this communication. specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re is specified above, the maximum statutory period the set or extended period for reply will, by status the Office later than three months after the maili- djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this	1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2005.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Clair	ns					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
10)⊠ The drawing Applicant m Replacemen	cation is objected to by the Examing (s) filed on 26 December 2001 is any not request that any objection to the at drawing sheet (s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example.	are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objeed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Solution is required if the drawing(s) is consistent of the drawing(s) is consistent of the drawing(s).	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/025,911 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Amendment filed May 31, 2005 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,143,301 to Trautner in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,680,505 to Funada.

Regarding to claim 1, Trautner discloses, in Figures 6-9, a lamp apparatus comprising: a lamp (1) of an electrode (17 and 18); a wire (50 and 51) to deliver the external voltage; and a connector (27) for electrically connecting the electrode (17 and 18) of the lamp (1) to the wire, the connector (27) directly contacting the electrode (17 and 18) and a portion of the wire (50 and 51), wherein the connector includes: a first cured wing (43, 44 and 45) for directly contacting the electrode (17 and 18) of the lamp; and a second curve (52 including 53, 54, 55 and 56) for directly contacting a portion of the wire (50 and 51).

However, Trautner does not disclose the lamp capable of using a discharge to generate light for the liquid crystal display.

The Examiner asserts that the discharge lamp used for liquid crystal display is old and well known in the art as taught by the Funada reference. The Funada reference teaches, in Figures 1-3, a lamp capable of using a discharge of an external voltage applied to an electrode (2) of the lamp to generate light for the liquid crystal display (see column 5, lines 17-20) for the purpose of improving the discharge lamp with high luminous efficiency.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilize the discharge lamp utilized for liquid crystal display of Funada for the lamp apparatus of Trautner in order to improve the discharge lamp with high luminous efficiency.

Regarding to claim 2, Trautner discloses, in Figure 2, a unifying means (26) for integrally forming the power terminal (17 and 18) of the lamp and the wire (50 and 51) electrically connected to each other via the connector.

Regarding to claim 4, Trautner discloses, the unifying means (26) is a molded product (see column 2, lines 48-54) for unifying an end (7) of the lamp (1), the electrode of the lamp (17 and 18), the connector (27) and the wire (50 and 51).

Regarding to claim 5, Trautner discloses, a material of the molded product is selected from any one of the group comprising plastic and silicon (see column 2, lines 48-54).

Regarding to claim 6, Trautner discloses, in Figures 6-9, the first curved wing (43, 44 and 45) at least partially surrounding the electrode (17 and 18) of the lamp (1) and the second curved wing (52 including 53, 54, 55 and 56) is at least partially surrounding the portion of the wire (50 and 51).

Regarding to claim 18, the limitation of "injection-molded product is molded around the lamp and the wire" is a method of forming a device and is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following prior art are cited to further show the state of the art of composition of a lighting apparatus.

U.S. Patent No. 5,266,866 to Takagi.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,911

Art Unit: 2879

CFR 1.136(a).

U.S. Patent No. 5,610,472 to Schmitt, Jr.

U.S. Patent No. 6,104,134 to Kikuchihara.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalei Dong whose telephone number is (571)272-2370. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5 P.M..

Application/Control Number: 10/025,911 Page 6

Art Unit: 2879

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on (571)272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.D.

June 17, 2005

Joseph Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879

Joseph Williams